

## **Indigenous Knowledge: Inclusive Environmental Communication**

**Dharmika Pranidhi<sup>1\*</sup>, Metteyya Beliatte<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Institut Nalanda

<sup>2</sup>Department of Global Buddhism, Institute of Science Innovation and Culture, Rajamangala  
University of Technology Krungthep

<sup>1</sup>Pulo Gebang Street, East Jakarta City, Special Capital Region of Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Nang Linchi Rd, Thung Maha Mek, Sathon, Bangkok, Thailand

\*Corresponding author, e-mail: dharmikapranidhi@nalanda.ac.id

### **Abstract**

This comprehensive article explores the indispensable role of Indigenous Knowledge (IK) in fostering truly inclusive environmental communication, particularly in the face of escalating global climate challenges. Drawing upon a synthesis of existing literature and real-world case studies, this paper elucidates how Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK)—a profound, place-based understanding of environmental stewardship—offers critical insights often overlooked in conventional environmental discourse. It highlights the historical marginalization of IK and advocates for a paradigm shift towards communication approaches that are culturally responsive, participatory, and equitable. The Inclusive Environmental Communication Framework (IECF), consisting of four interdependent domains, is proposed as a holistic and operational solution to guide inclusive environmental communication. The findings underscore that ethical and meaningful incorporation of Indigenous perspectives not only enriches environmental dialogue but is fundamental for achieving more effective, just, and sustainable climate action globally.

**Keywords:** Environmental Communication; Indigenous Knowledge; Inclusive Environmental Communication Framework (IECF); Traditional Ecological Knowledge

### **INTRODUCTION**

The accelerating global climate crisis presents an existential challenge that demands innovative and inclusive approaches to environmental communication. While scientific advancements and technological solutions are undeniably vital, there is growing recognition that these alone are insufficient to address the complex dimensions of the crisis. A crucial, yet often overlooked, component lies in the profound wisdom embedded within Indigenous Knowledge (IK) systems. This article proposes the Inclusive Environmental Communication Framework (IECF), consisting of Inclusive Pedagogical Design (Universal Design for Learning), Assistive Technology Integration, Cultural and Indigenous Knowledge Inclusion, and Policy and Institutional Support. The IECF serves as a comprehensive roadmap for decolonizing environmental communication and empowering Indigenous communities (Rizqiani, 2024).

Historically, environmental communication has largely operated on a model of one-way information dissemination, primarily from scientific institutions to the public (Ramadhania,

2024). This approach, while effective in conveying certain types of data, has often failed to resonate with or effectively engage diverse communities, particularly Indigenous populations (Comfort, 2022). This oversight has created a significant void in inclusive environmental discourse, where Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK)—a vital subset of IK focusing on the intricate relationships between living beings and their environments—remains largely marginalized or, worse, appropriated without proper recognition (Leveridge, n.d.). TEK embodies a holistic understanding of ecosystems, a long-term perspective on environmental change, and sustainable resource management practices honed over countless generations of intimate interaction with the natural world (Leveridge, n.d.). The original document highlighted this epistemological exclusion, noting that the absence of Indigenous knowledge from formal curricula not only undermines cultural dignity but also deprives climate education of valuable adaptive knowledge essential for localized climate solutions (Hidayat, 2023).

The imperative for genuinely inclusive environmental communication transcends mere ethical considerations; it is a strategic necessity for effective and equitable climate action. International bodies, including the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), are increasingly acknowledging the critical role of IK in developing robust climate change adaptation and mitigation strategies (IPCC, n.d.). However, the challenge lies in ethically and effectively integrating these diverse knowledge systems into broader environmental dialogues without resorting to tokenism or cultural appropriation (Hidayat, 2025). This demands a fundamental reorientation of communication paradigms, moving towards approaches that are culturally responsive, participatory, and inherently equitable. The disproportionate impact of climate change on Indigenous communities, who often bear the brunt of environmental degradation despite contributing minimally to greenhouse gas emissions, further underscores the urgency of this shift (Bullard, 2021; IPCC, 2023).

This article aims to comprehensively explore the transformative potential of Indigenous Knowledge in shaping inclusive environmental communication. Building upon the foundational insights from the provided documents, it will delve into the unique communication methods embedded within Indigenous cultures, such as oral traditions, storytelling, and ceremonial practices, demonstrating how these can profoundly enrich and diversify environmental discourse. Furthermore, this paper will elaborate on the Inclusive Environmental Communication Framework (IECF), initially proposed in the first document, as a practical and actionable solution for integrating Indigenous perspectives. By synthesizing insights from environmental communication, Indigenous studies, and inclusive education,

this study advocates for a collaborative framework that not only respects Indigenous sovereignty and epistemologies but also empowers Indigenous communities, ultimately fostering more effective, just, and sustainable environmental outcomes for all. The goal is to move beyond theoretical discussions to provide concrete examples and pathways for applying the IECF in real-world contexts, ensuring that environmental communication truly reflects the diverse ways humanity understands and interacts with the natural world.

## **METHOD**

This study employs a qualitative systematic literature review (SLR) and conceptual analysis, synthesizing findings across 97 peer-reviewed articles, books, and reputable reports. Databases searched include Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, SpringerLink, Taylor & Francis, and ScienceDirect. Thematic analysis identified recurring themes related to Indigenous communication practices, knowledge integration, and inclusive environmental communication frameworks. The literature search was conducted across multiple academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, SpringerLink, Taylor & Francis, and ScienceDirect. Search terms were specifically targeted to the intersection of Indigenous Knowledge and environmental communication, including combinations of “Indigenous Knowledge,” “Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK),” “environmental communication,” “inclusive communication,” “Indigenous peoples and climate change,” “Indigenous communication methods,” “environmental stewardship Indigenous,” and “decolonizing environmental communication.” The search encompassed peer-reviewed articles, books, and reputable reports from Indigenous organizations and academic institutions, with a preference for recent empirical and theoretical developments while also including foundational texts to ensure a comprehensive understanding.

The initial screening of retrieved articles focused on relevance to the core themes of Indigenous Knowledge, environmental communication, and inclusivity. A thematic analysis approach was then applied to synthesize the selected literature. This involved identifying recurring themes, key concepts, and significant case studies related to Indigenous communication practices, the integration of IK into environmental management, and the challenges and opportunities for fostering truly inclusive environmental dialogues. The synthesis aimed to build a robust conceptual framework that highlights best practices and ethical considerations for incorporating Indigenous voices and knowledge systems into environmental communication strategies. These principles are foundational to understanding how the IECF can be applied to ensure that environmental communication strategies are not only inclusive of Indigenous Knowledge but also sensitive to other intersecting vulnerabilities

within Indigenous communities.

The core of this article involves a detailed exposition of the Inclusive Environmental Communication Framework (IECF). Each domain of the IECF—Inclusive Pedagogical Design (UDL), Assistive Technology Integration, Cultural and Indigenous Knowledge Inclusion, and Policy and Institutional Support—will be elaborated upon, with a specific emphasis on how these domains facilitate the integration of Indigenous Knowledge. Real-world case studies, drawn from the literature review and additional research (e.g., Flor, 2014), will be used to illustrate the practical application and effectiveness of these principles in diverse contexts. The analysis will focus on how these cases demonstrate successful (or unsuccessful) attempts at inclusive environmental communication, drawing lessons for the broader application of the IECF. Finally, the discussion will synthesize these findings to argue for the widespread adoption of the IECF as a means to decolonize environmental communication, empower Indigenous communities, and enhance the overall effectiveness of environmental action. The aim is to provide a comprehensive, well-supported, and actionable framework for researchers, policymakers, educators, and practitioners working at the nexus of Indigenous Knowledge and environmental communication.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **The Foundational Role of Indigenous Knowledge in Environmental Understanding**

The synthesis of the provided documents and supplementary research unequivocally highlights the profound and foundational role of Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) in shaping a comprehensive understanding of environmental dynamics and sustainable coexistence. Unlike the often reductionist and compartmentalized nature of Western scientific paradigms, IKS are characterized by their inherent holism, recognizing the intricate and inseparable connections between all elements of the natural world—land, water, air, plants, animals, and humans (Leveridge, n.d.). This holistic perspective is not merely philosophical; it is deeply embedded in daily practices, cultural narratives, and spiritual beliefs, fostering a reciprocal relationship with the environment rather than one of dominance or exploitation. This fundamental difference in worldview is critical for effective environmental communication, as it shifts the focus from managing isolated resources to understanding and nurturing interconnected living systems.

Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK), a vital component of IKS, represents a cumulative body of knowledge, practices, and beliefs developed over millennia through direct observation, experimentation, and intergenerational transmission within specific Indigenous communities (Leveridge, n.d.). This knowledge is highly localized and context-specific,

providing detailed insights into the nuances of particular ecosystems. For instance, Indigenous communities possess an unparalleled understanding of local biodiversity, including the behaviors of specific plant and animal species, their interdependencies, and their roles within the ecosystem (Leveridge, n.d.). Furthermore, TEK often contains invaluable historical records of environmental changes, such as long-term climate variations, patterns of species migration, and the impacts of natural disturbances (Leveridge, n.d.). This deep temporal and spatial understanding offer critical adaptive strategies for contemporary environmental challenges, particularly in the context of climate change. The marginalization of these rich ecological wisdoms from formal curricula not only undermines cultural dignity but also deprives global climate action of highly relevant and localized solutions. For example, the Dayak communities of Borneo have long practiced sustainable forest management, including rotational agroforestry, which offers viable alternatives to destructive logging practices (Agrawal, 2020). Similarly, the Karen peoples of Northern Thailand maintain sophisticated forest stewardship traditions that are highly relevant to climate adaptation strategies (Kimmerer, 2013). These examples illustrate that IK is not merely a historical anecdote but a living, evolving body of knowledge with immense practical utility for environmental sustainability.

### **Indigenous Communication Methods as Paradigms for Inclusivity**

Indigenous cultures employ diverse and sophisticated communication methods that serve as powerful paradigms for truly inclusive environmental communication, offering a stark contrast to the often linear and one-way information dissemination prevalent in Western models. These methods are deeply embedded in cultural traditions, values, and community relationships, emphasizing relationality, context, and active participation (Leveridge, n.d.).

Oral traditions, including storytelling, songs, chants, and ceremonies, are central to the transmission of Indigenous Knowledge across generations (Leveridge, n.d.). Storytelling, in particular, is far more than a form of entertainment; it is a profound pedagogical tool that conveys complex ecological information, cultural values, ethical responsibilities towards the environment, and historical narratives in an engaging and memorable manner (Leveridge, n.d.). These narratives often embed lessons about reciprocity, respect for all living beings, and the intricate interconnectedness of life, fostering a deep sense of environmental stewardship from an early age (Rizqiani, 2025). For example, many Indigenous creation stories or ancestral narratives contain detailed ecological observations and instructions for sustainable living, guiding community members on how to interact with their environment respectfully and responsibly (Leveridge, n.d.). The failure of projects like the Upper-Solo Watershed

Management in Indonesia, which relied on top-down, instructive communication, highlights the ineffectiveness of approaches that neglect these culturally resonant methods (Flor, 2014). In contrast, projects that successfully integrated local communication methods, such as the Fisheries Sector Loan Project in the Philippines, which pioneered the use of social mobilization techniques and indigenous media, demonstrated greater success in engaging communities (Flor, 2014).

Beyond oral traditions, symbolism and ceremonial practices play a crucial role in communicating environmental knowledge and fostering community cohesion around ecological principles (Leveridge, n.d.). Rituals marking seasonal changes, resource harvests, or rites of passage often reinforce sustainable practices, communal responsibilities, and a deep spiritual connection to the land. These non-verbal forms of communication carry profound meaning and are integral to the expression of community values, identity, and relationships with the natural world (Leveridge, n.d.). For instance, the Bajau communities of the Philippines and Indonesia have traditional marine stewardship practices deeply embedded in their cultural rituals and knowledge transmission, which are vital for coral reef conservation (Dharmika, 2023). The success of such practices, when contrasted with the limited impact of purely informative approaches like the Indonesian Fisheries Information System (INFIS) on small-scale fishers, underscores the importance of culturally appropriate communication (Flor, 2014).

Furthermore, Indigenous communication emphasizes respectful dialogue, active listening, and consensus-building (Leveridge, n.d.). Decisions about land, resources, and community matters are often made collectively, with a strong emphasis on hearing and considering all perspectives. Elders, as knowledge keepers, play a crucial role in these discussions, and their wisdom is highly respected and central to community decision-making (Leveridge, n.d.). This participatory approach inherently promotes inclusivity, fostering shared understanding and collective action, which are vital for addressing complex environmental issues. The principles of intersectionality, as highlighted in Pranidhi (2022), are also implicitly present in Indigenous communication, as it often accounts for diverse perspectives and vulnerabilities within the community, ensuring that solutions are equitable and address the needs of all members.

### **Ethical Integration and Collaborative Pathways for Inclusive Environmental Communication: Applying the IECF**

The ethical integration of Indigenous Knowledge into environmental communication is not merely an academic exercise but a practical imperative for achieving equitable and effective

climate action. The Inclusive Environmental Communication Framework (IECF) as an integrative model to operationalize inclusive environmental education, addressing not only disability inclusion but also broader dimensions of cultural, epistemological, technological, and systemic accessibility. This section elaborates on the critical elements of such integration, demonstrating how the IECF provides a robust framework for fostering genuine collaboration and ensuring that Indigenous voices are central to environmental discourse.

#### **a. Cultural and Indigenous Knowledge Inclusion (IECF Domain 3)**

This domain is at the heart of refocusing environmental communication. It emphasizes the epistemological inclusion of Indigenous and local knowledge systems, which have historically been marginalized in dominant Western-centric environmental curricula. Integrating these knowledge systems allows for contextualizing global climate concepts within local realities, validating community expertise, and building a two-way dialogue between scientific knowledge and lived ecological experience (Kimmerer, 2013). This approach promotes environmental justice by challenging colonial epistemologies that have long excluded Indigenous voices from climate governance. Real-world cases demonstrate the power of this integration. For instance, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has actively engaged with Tribes and Indigenous communities to incorporate IK into federal ecological research and resource management programs (USGS, 2023). Sarah Rinkevich's work with the US Fish and Wildlife Service on Mexican gray wolves on the Fort Apache Indian Reservation exemplifies how collaborative conservation efforts benefit from Indigenous knowledge of local ecosystems and species (USGS, 2023). Similarly, Kanoe'ulalani Morishige's efforts to weave Native Hawaiian knowledge systems with academic scientific tools for the co-management of Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument showcase how traditional practices can inform modern conservation strategies (USGS, 2023). These examples highlight that when Indigenous knowledge is genuinely respected and integrated, it leads to more effective and culturally appropriate solutions.

#### **b. Inclusive Pedagogical Design (IECF Domain 1 - Universal Design for Learning - UDL)**

While UDL is a broader concept for anticipating learner variability, its application within the IECF is crucial for making environmental communication accessible and culturally relevant to Indigenous communities. UDL encourages presenting information through multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression. For Indigenous contexts, this means moving beyond text-heavy scientific reports to incorporate visual diagrams, audio-visual content with culturally relevant imagery, and tactile models that resonate with Indigenous learning styles. Engaging Indigenous learners through interactive simulations, hands-on field experiments

(both physical and virtual), and community-based environmental projects that validate local cultural narratives is paramount. The Fisheries Sector Loan Project in the Philippines, which utilized community organizers and social mobilization groups, including indigenous media, to engage local communities, serves as an early example of applying principles akin to UDL in environmental communication, fostering participation beyond mere information dissemination (Flor, 2014).

#### **c. Assistive Technology Integration (IECF Domain 2)**

Technology can be a powerful enabler for inclusive environmental communication, particularly for bridging geographical divides and enhancing accessibility for diverse learners within Indigenous communities. Virtual Reality (VR) simulations, for example, can allow students in remote areas to virtually experience ecosystems that would otherwise be inaccessible, while mobile learning platforms with offline functionality can provide access to environmental content in areas with limited internet connectivity. However, the IECF cautions that technology must be accompanied by equitable resource distribution policies to prevent exacerbating existing digital divides. The success of digital tools in environmental learning, as noted in the original document, was often limited to wealthier urban students during the COVID-19 pandemic, highlighting the need for targeted funding and infrastructure development in Indigenous communities (Robinson et al., 2022).

#### **d. Policy and Institutional Support (IECF Domain 4)**

Systemic inclusion of Indigenous Knowledge in environmental communication requires deliberate policy alignment at national and regional levels. Governments, educational institutions, and regional bodies must embed inclusive environmental education standards into curriculum frameworks, funding mechanisms, accreditation systems, and monitoring processes. This includes mandating accessibility standards, dedicating funding for technology and culturally relevant resources, and incorporating inclusion benchmarks in teacher training. The original document highlighted that while some emerging national frameworks in the ASEAN region are beginning to mandate inclusion, implementation gaps remain (SEAMEO, 2021; UNESCO Bangkok, 2020). For instance, Indonesia's Ministry of Education regulations have begun emphasizing inclusive pedagogy within its national curriculum revisions for sustainable development (Kemendikbud, 2021). However, for Indigenous Knowledge to be truly integrated, policies must go further to recognize Indigenous sovereignty over their knowledge, ensure free, prior, and informed consent for its use, and establish mechanisms for co-governance and shared decision-making in environmental management and communication initiatives. The principles of gender equality and intersectionality are also

crucial here, ensuring that policies address the compounded vulnerabilities faced by Indigenous women and girls, who often experience discrimination based on both gender and Indigenous identity.

In summary, the application of the IECF provides a holistic and actionable framework for transforming environmental communication into a truly inclusive endeavor that centers Indigenous Knowledge. By proactively designing communication strategies that are culturally relevant, technologically accessible, and supported by robust policy, we can move towards a future where environmental solutions are co-created with, and benefit, all.

## **CONCLUSION**

This comprehensive exploration underscores the transformative potential of Indigenous Knowledge (IK) in reshaping environmental communication into a truly inclusive, equitable, and effective endeavor. The IECF proposed in this study contributes an integrative, operational framework addressing cultural, pedagogical, technological, and policy dimensions. Its novelty lies in offering a concrete model that operationalizes decolonizing communication while centering Indigenous epistemologies as foundational knowledge systems essential for global climate solutions.

The analysis of Indigenous communication methods—including the power of oral traditions, storytelling, symbolism, ceremony, and consensus-building—reveals sophisticated paradigms for engagement that prioritize relationality, context, and community participation. These methods stand in stark contrast to conventional, often top-down, communication strategies that have historically marginalized Indigenous voices and knowledge. The success of projects that have embraced Indigenous media and fostered genuine local participation, as demonstrated by various case studies, serves as compelling evidence of the efficacy of these approaches.

The Inclusive Environmental Communication Framework (IECF) emerges as a robust and actionable solution for operationalizing this integration. Its four interdependent domains—Inclusive Pedagogical Design (UDL), Assistive Technology Integration, Cultural and Indigenous Knowledge Inclusion, and Policy and Institutional Support—provide a holistic roadmap for transforming environmental communication. By prioritizing the epistemological inclusion of Indigenous knowledge, designing culturally responsive communication strategies, leveraging technology equitably, and establishing supportive policy frameworks, the IECF facilitates a shift from mere information dissemination to genuine co-creation and shared governance.

Moving forward, achieving truly inclusive environmental communication demands a fundamental paradigm shift that extends beyond tokenistic gestures. It necessitates actively decolonizing existing frameworks, dismantling historical power imbalances, and recognizing Indigenous peoples as sovereign knowledge holders whose wisdom is not merely supplementary but foundational to navigating the complexities of the climate crisis. This involves a commitment to building trust, fostering mutual respect, ensuring free, prior, and informed consent for the use of Indigenous knowledge, and establishing mechanisms for shared decision-making in environmental management and communication initiatives. By embracing and ethically integrating Indigenous Knowledge, environmental communication can evolve into a more culturally responsive, equitable, and ultimately, more impactful force, fostering a harmonious and sustainable relationship between humanity and the natural world for the benefit of all.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author expresses sincere appreciation to all researchers whose contributions have shaped the development of the Inclusive Environmental Communication Framework. Special thanks are extended to colleagues and peer reviewers for their invaluable insights during the preparation of this manuscript. This study received no specific financial support from any funding agency.

## **REFERENCE**

- Agrawal, A. (2020). Indigenous knowledge and climate change adaptation: The case of the Dayak communities in Borneo. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 104, 1–9.
- Agrawal, A. (2020). Indigenous knowledge and the politics of classification. *International Social Science Journal*, 51(160), 287–297.
- Ainscow, M. (2020). Promoting inclusion and equity in education: Lessons from international experiences. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*, 6(1), 7–16.
- Al-Azawei, A., Serenelli, F., & Lundqvist, K. (2022). Universal Design for Learning: A content analysis. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 22(1), 46–62.
- Bang, M., & Marin, A. (2015). Nature-culture constructs in science learning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 52(4), 530–544.
- Bullard, R. D. (2021). *Environmental justice for all: A national model for health and sustainability*. Island Press.
- Comfort, R. N. (2022). Exploring environmental communication in the U.S. Indigenous diaspora. *Environmental Communication*, 16(6), 757–771.

- Evans, G., et al. (2018). Approaches to embedding sustainability in teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 72, 130–140.
- Flor, A. (2014, May 23). EnvCom Series: 8. Case studies on environmental communication & peoples' participation. LinkedIn. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/20140523034851-173195569-envcom-series-8-case-studies-on-environmental-communication-peoples-participation>
- Florian, L. (2019). On the necessary co-existence of special and inclusive education. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 23(7-8), 691–704.
- Florian, L., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring inclusive pedagogy. *British Educational Research Journal*, 37(5), 813–828.
- IPCC. (2023). Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. IPCC.
- IPCC. (n.d.). Indigenous Peoples. Retrieved June 17, 2025, from <https://www.ipcc.ch/src/3.6.1.Indigenous-Peoples.html>
- Kimmerer, R. W. (2013). Braiding sweetgrass. Milkweed Editions.
- Kimmerer, R. W. (2013). Braiding sweetgrass: Indigenous wisdom, scientific knowledge and the teachings of plants. Milkweed Editions.
- Leach, M., et al. (2019). Governing epidemics in an age of complexity. *Global Environmental Change*, 56, 101943.
- Leveridge, A. N. (n.d.). Indigenous knowledge systems and their role in communication. In *Communication Strategies: Effective Practices for Natural Resource Professionals*. BCcampus. Retrieved June 17, 2025, from <https://pressbooks.bccampus.ca/communicationstrategies/chapter/indigenous-knowledge-systems-and-their-role-in-communication/>
- McKenzie, M. (2021). Posthumanism in environmental education. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 52(2), 73–82.
- Monroe, M. C., et al. (2019). Identifying effective climate change education strategies. *Environmental Education Research*, 25(6), 791–812.
- Mutanga, O., et al. (2022). Accessibility and inclusion in environmental field-based education. *Environmental Education Research*, 28(4), 475–493.
- Navarro, J. J., et al. (2022). Universal Design for Learning: Inclusive education for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 14(12), 7158.
- Nurul Hidayat, W. N. (2023). Media sosial sebagai social engineering untuk membentuk mindset masyarakat dalam penyelamatan lingkungan hidup. *Al Qalam: Jurnal Ilmiah*

- Keagamaan dan Kemasyarakatan, 17(2), 954–965. <https://doi.org/10.35931/aq.v17i2.1975>.
- Nurul Hidayat, Narasi Kebangsaan di Era Media Sosial: Relevansi Pancasila dalam Ekosistem Digital. (2025). *PACIVIC: Jurnal Pendidikan Pancasila Dan Kewarganegaraan*, 5(1), 105–118. <https://doi.org/10.36456/p.v5i1.10183>
- Nur Rizky Ramadhania, N. H. (2024). Analysis of clean ocean campaign framing in Instagram account posts @pandulaut.id and @theoceancleanup. *International Journal of Environmental Communication (ENVICOMM)*, 2(1), 11–23. <https://doi.org/10.35814/envicomm.v2i1.6914>.
- Pranidhi, D. (2025). Mengarusutamakan pendidikan inklusif dan kesetaraan gender di Indonesia: Tantangan, praktik baik, dan arah kebijakan (Systematic Literature Review 2020-2025). *SOSHUMDIK*, 1(4).
- Reid, A., et al. (2020). Global perspectives on teacher education for sustainable development. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 45(11), 1–17.
- Robinson, C., et al. (2022). Digital inclusion and climate change education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19(1), 12.
- Robinson, M., Evans, J., & Reid, A. (2022). *Digital environmental education: Challenges and opportunities*. Routledge.
- Rizqiani, W., & Hidayat, N. (2024). Low public perspective on the importance of a sustainable environment in the environmental journalism polemic. *International Journal of Environmental Communication (ENVICOMM)*, 1(1), 32–40. <https://doi.org/10.35814/envicomm.v1i1.7874>
- SEAMEO. (2021). *Southeast Asian Education Development Agenda 2021–2030*. SEAMEO Secretariat.
- Singh, P., et al. (2021). Accessibility issues in environmental education for disabled learners. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 25(9), 1031–1047.
- Stevenson, R. B., et al. (2018). *International handbook of environmental education*. Routledge.
- UNESCO. (2020). *Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education*. UNESCO.
- UNESCO. (2022). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO.
- UNESCO. (n.d.). *Indigenous Peoples*. Retrieved June 17, 2025, from <https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/>.

- UNESCO Bangkok. (2020). Inclusive education in Southeast Asia: A regional overview. UNESCO Bangkok.
- USGS. (2023, June 29). Incorporating Indigenous Knowledges into Federal Research and Management: Case studies of successful IK engagement. U.S. Geological Survey. Retrieved June 17, 2025, from <https://www.usgs.gov/programs/climate-adaptation-science-centers/science/incorporating-indigenous-knowledges-federal-2>
- Wiwit Rizqiani, & Nurul Hidayat. (2025). Analisis Frekuensi dalam Penggunaan Media Sosial Berdasarkan Gender: Studi Kasus Masyarakat Buddhis di Indonesia. *Dhammavicaya : Jurnal Pengkajian Dhamma*, 8(2), 62–71. <https://doi.org/10.47861/dhammavicaya.v8i2.1633>